

## RIGHT TO TRAVEL CARD

A PERSONAL LIBERTY IS A RIGHT OR POWER OF LOCOMOTION; OF CHANGING ONE'S SITUATION; OR MOVING ONE'S PERSON TO WHATSOEVER PLACE ONE'S OWN INCLINATION MAY DIRECT WITHOUT IMPRISONMENT OR RESTRAINT UNLESS BY DUE COURSE OF LAW. -- BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY

“Traffic infractions are not a crime.” People v. Battle, 50 Cal. App. 3d, step 1, 123 Cal.Rptr. 636,639

“Speeding, driving without a license, wrong plates or no plates, no registration, no tags, etc., have been held to be “non-arrestable offenses”

(Cal V. Farley, 98 Cal. Rep. 89, 20 CA 3d 1032.

## RIGHT TO TRAVEL CARD

A PERSONAL LIBERTY IS A RIGHT OR POWER OF LOCOMOTION; OF CHANGING ONE'S SITUATION; OR MOVING ONE'S PERSON TO WHATSOEVER PLACE ONE'S OWN INCLINATION MAY DIRECT WITHOUT IMPRISONMENT OR RESTRAINT UNLESS BY DUE COUR

SE OF LAW. -- BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY  
“Traffic infractions are not a crime.” People v. Battle, 50 Cal. App. 3d, step 1, 123 Cal.Rptr. 636,639

“Speeding, driving without a license, wrong plates or no plates, no registration, no tags, etc., have been held to be “non-arrestable offenses”

(Cal V. Farley, 98 Cal. Rep. 89, 20 CA 3d 1032.

## RIGHT TO TRAVEL CARD

A PERSONAL LIBERTY IS A RIGHT OR POWER OF LOCOMOTION; OF CHANGING ONE'S SITUATION; OR MOVING ONE'S PERSON TO WHATSOEVER PLACE ONE'S OWN INCLINATION MAY DIRECT WITHOUT IMPRISONMENT OR RESTRAINT UNLESS BY DUE COURSE OF LAW. -- BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY

“Traffic infractions are not a crime.” People v. Battle, 50 Cal. App. 3d, step 1, 123 Cal.Rptr. 636,639

“Speeding, driving without a license, wrong plates or no plates, no registration, no tags, etc., have been held to be “non-arrestable offenses”

(Cal V. Farley, 98 Cal. Rep. 89, 20 CA 3d 1032.

## RIGHT TO TRAVEL CARD

A PERSONAL LIBERTY IS A RIGHT OR POWER OF LOCOMOTION; OF CHANGING ONE'S SITUATION; OR MOVING ONE'S PERSON TO WHATSOEVER PLACE ONE'S OWN INCLINATION MAY DIRECT WITHOUT IMPRISONMENT OR RESTRAINT UNLESS BY DUE COURSE OF LAW. -- BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY

“Traffic infractions are not a crime.” People v. Battle, 50 Cal. App. 3d, step 1, 123 Cal.Rptr. 636,639

“Speeding, driving without a license, wrong plates or no plates, no registration, no tags, etc., have been held to be “non-arrestable offenses”

(Cal V. Farley, 98 Cal. Rep. 89, 20 CA 3d 1032.

## THE RIGHT OF A CITIZEN

THE RIGHT OF A CITIZEN TO TRAVEL UPON THE PUBLIC HIGHWAYS AND TO TRANSPORT ONE'S PROPERTY THEREON, EITHER BY CARRIAGE OR AUTOMOBILE, IS NOT A MERE PRIVILEGE WHICH A CITY MAY PROHIBIT OR PERMIT AT WILL BUT A COMMON RIGHT WHICH HE / SHE HAS UNDER THE RIGHT TO LIFE, LIBERTY, AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS. THOMPSON . SMITH 154SE 579:

Traveling in an automobile on the public roads was not a threat to the public safety or health and constituted no hazard to the public, and such a traveler owed nothing more than "due care" (as regards to tort for negligence) to the public and the owner owed no other duty to the public (eg. State), he / she and his / her auto, having equal rights to and on the roadways / highways as horses and wagons, etc.; this same right is still substantive rule, in that speeding, running stop signs, traveling without license plates, or registration are not threats to the public safety, and thus, are not arrestable offenses. *Christy v. Elliot*, 216 I 131, 74 HE 1035, LRA NS 1905 - 1910: *California v. Farley* 98 CED Rpt. 89, 20 CA 3d 1032 (1971).

## THE RIGHT OF A CITIZEN

THE RIGHT OF A CITIZEN TO TRAVEL UPON THE PUBLIC HIGHWAYS AND TO TRANSPORT ONE'S PROPERTY THEREON, EITHER BY CARRIAGE OR AUTOMOBILE, IS NOT A MERE PRIVILEGE WHICH A CITY MAY PROHIBIT OR PERMIT AT WILL BUT A COMMON RIGHT WHICH HE / SHE HAS UNDER THE RIGHT TO LIFE, LIBERTY, AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS. THOMPSON . SMITH 154SE 579:

Traveling in an automobile on the public roads was not a threat to the public safety or health and constituted no hazard to the public, and such a traveler owed nothing more than "due care" (as regards to tort for negligence) to the public and the owner owed no other duty to the public (eg. State), he / she and his / her auto, having equal rights to and on the roadways / highways as horses and wagons, etc.; this same right is still substantive rule, in that speeding, running stop signs, traveling without license plates, or registration are not threats to the public safety, and thus, are not arrestable offenses. *Christy v. Elliot*, 216 I 131, 74 HE 1035, LRA NS 1905 - 1910: *California v. Farley* 98 CED Rpt. 89, 20 CA 3d 1032 (1971).

## THE RIGHT OF A CITIZEN

THE RIGHT OF A CITIZEN TO TRAVEL UPON THE PUBLIC HIGHWAYS AND TO TRANSPORT ONE'S PROPERTY THEREON, EITHER BY CARRIAGE OR AUTOMOBILE, IS NOT A MERE PRIVILEGE WHICH A CITY MAY PROHIBIT OR PERMIT AT WILL BUT A COMMON RIGHT WHICH HE / SHE HAS UNDER THE RIGHT TO LIFE, LIBERTY, AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS. THOMPSON . SMITH 154SE 579:

Traveling in an automobile on the public roads was not a threat to the public safety or health and constituted no hazard to the public, and such a traveler owed nothing more than "due care" (as regards to tort for negligence) to the public and the owner owed no other duty to the public (eg. State), he / she and his / her auto, having equal rights to and on the roadways / highways as horses and wagons, etc.; this same right is still substantive rule, in that speeding, running stop signs, traveling without license plates, or registration are not threats to the public safety, and thus, are not arrestable offenses. *Christy v. Elliot*, 216 I 131, 74 HE 1035, LRA NS 1905 - 1910: *California v. Farley* 98 CED Rpt. 89, 20 CA 3d 1032 (1971).

## THE RIGHT OF A CITIZEN

THE RIGHT OF A CITIZEN TO TRAVEL UPON THE PUBLIC HIGHWAYS AND TO TRANSPORT ONE'S PROPERTY THEREON, EITHER BY CARRIAGE OR AUTOMOBILE, IS NOT A MERE PRIVILEGE WHICH A CITY MAY PROHIBIT OR PERMIT AT WILL BUT A COMMON RIGHT WHICH HE / SHE HAS UNDER THE RIGHT TO LIFE, LIBERTY, AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS. THOMPSON . SMITH 154SE 579:

Traveling in an automobile on the public roads was not a threat to the public safety or health and constituted no hazard to the public, and such a traveler owed nothing more than "due care" (as regards to tort for negligence) to the public and the owner owed no other duty to the public (eg. State), he / she and his / her auto, having equal rights to and on the roadways / highways as horses and wagons, etc.; this same right is still substantive rule, in that speeding, running stop signs, traveling without license plates, or registration are not threats to the public safety, and thus, are not arrestable offenses. *Christy v. Elliot*, 216 I 131, 74 HE 1035, LRA NS 1905 - 1910: *California v. Farley* 98 CED Rpt. 89, 20 CA 3d 1032 (1971).